CITY OF PITTSBURGH/ALLEGHENY COUNTY
TASK FORCE ON DISABILITIES
JANUARY 23, 2011
MINUTES

Location: First Floor Conference Room
Civic Building, 200 Ross Street
Pittsburgh, PA

Members Present: Aurelia Carter-Scott, Milton Henderson, Richard McGann, Richard Meritzer, James C. Noschese, Paul O'Hanlon Chairperson, John Tague, Joe Wasserman

Members Absent: Linda Dickerson, Janet Evans, Sarah Goldstein, Liz Healey, Jeff Parker, Katherine D. Seelman

Others Present: Shirley Abriola, Lester Bennett, Adolphine Birescik, Holly Dick, Mary Durante, Dianne Gallagher, Evan Gross, Larry Hockenberry, Chuck Keenan, James Kindler, Rob Kohlmeyer, Donald Kovacic, Emily Krobot, Kathleen Mikolay, Charles Morrison, Theresa Nellans, Cheryl Noschese, Keith Partyka, Gerald Penna, Deborah Skillings, Lucy Spruill, Andrea Tuccillo, Ryan Uhrig, Mary Esther van Shura, Kitty Vagley, Amaris Whitaker

The meeting was called to order by Mr. O'Hanlon at 1:00 PM.

ACTION ITEMS

Review and approval of November minutes

Mr. Tague wanted to point out that Milton Henderson's name was listed twice and misspelled in the others present section. Mr. Wasserman makes a motion to approve the minutes seconded by Mr. McGann, other task force members approve.

Review and approval of financial report

Mr. Tague stated that October and September are listed in bold because two bills were sent to the city from CART and both were paid. Mr. Tague added that there is only one bill thus far for November and that we should be okay financially for January, March, April, May, and June meetings. Mr. McGann moved to accept the financial report, which all members present approved.

PRESENTATION – Emily Krobot
Consumer Health Coalition's Legislative Breakfast

Ms. Krobot stated that she is an intern with the Consumer Health Coalition and due to the upcoming elections and potential cutbacks to public transportation that this is a very important year for issues pertaining to people with disabilities. Ms. Krobot continued that the Consumer Health Coalition is hosting a Legislative Breakfast on March 23rd, 8:30 a.m. – 11 a.m. held at the East Liberty Presbyterian Church. She added that more information can be gained through their hotline at (412)456-1877 ext. 202.

Ms. Carter-Scott inquired about how the CHC is getting the information out to those who do not use computers. Ms. Krobot responded that preliminary save the date pamphlets were sent out and the number listed above has also been circulated for more information about the event. Mr. McGann inquired if there would be interpreters at the event, to which Ms. Krobot responded yes, there would be. Mr. O'Hanlon asked if the CHC needed any help with the project, Ms. Krobot responded by stating that they are looking for organizations to partner with and that they are having a meeting on Wednesday the 25th from 3 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. at UCP Oakland and try to get people to volunteer for activities relating to the Legislative Breakfast. Mr. McGann, Mr. Henderson, and Mr. O'Hanlon all stated that they may be in attendance. Ms. Spruill inquired as to whether the CHC had been receiving calls from those who have been losing their medical assistance through state action, Ms. Krobot replied that they had been receiving a lot of calls from people with those issues and they have been helping them as best as they can. Mr. Wasserman inquired as to whether any legislators would be in attendance at the breakfast, Ms. Krobot responded by saying that they had sent various legislators save the date pamphlets, that they will also be calling them, and are they awaiting their reply. Mr. McGann added that the sooner an interpreter is contacted to attend the event the better, Ms. Krobot agreed and thanked him for the advice.

PRESENTATION
Proposed Port Authority Service and Fee Changes – Holly Dick and Deborah Skillings

Mr. O'Hanlon wanted to lead in the discussion by stating that last week Port Authority announced they would be implementing massive cutbacks in service and hours through cutting bus routes and service area for Para transit along with a fare increase next September.

Ms. Skillings as the Community Outreach Coordinator for the Port Authority, stated that Port Authority is facing a $64 million deficit in the operating budget for 2013 and they have been mandated to balance their budget. Ms. Skillings continued that they have been left with inadequate funding and they are facing a state wide funding crisis and that they are being forced to slash services, increase fares, layoff employees, and my close up to two garages to balance the budget. The reduction in service will be devastating, it will affect more than 40 routes, and the entire schedule will be reduced in some manner. The

consumer services in the suburbs will disappear and late night services and routes will be fewer which will have a negative impact on shift workers as well as those who rely on that late night service. One possible hope for the Port Authority is that a bill from State Representatives Dan Frankel and Mike Hannah is house bill 2112 which features funding for PA transit systems. Another hope is a list of suggestions that the state task force has submitted to the governor and that they are awaiting the governor's actions on the transportation crisis concerns. Port Authority is faced with that without additional state funding that they will have no solutions other than increasing fares on July 1, followed by service reduction in the following September. This is not what Port Authority wants; they feel this will devastate our community's economic system. Fares in Zone 1 will be increased by $0.25, Zone 2 will be increased by $0.50, but currently transfers are planned to stay the same. Ms. Skillings stated that the left handouts on the back table with the plan now of which routes will be cut, reduced, route alternatives that riders could now take, and the time frame of these changes. Over 100 communities will be affected; essentially no community will be unaffected by the potential changes. On Friday the 27th Port Authority board of directors will authorize the public comment period for the fair increases and service reductions, February 5th will be the public comment meeting, February 29th will be an all day public hearing at the David L. Lawrence convention center, March 9th is the closing of the public comment period, and on April 27th the board of directors will vote on the fare increases and service reduction.

Ms. Dick stated that ACCESS is integrally associated with Port Authority and the proposed cuts will severely affect the services ACCESS provides. ACCESS has two separate services; one for those 65 and older, and one that covers those through the ADA. The 65 and older program will get by with few changes from the cuts since it is funded by the Pennsylvania lottery, however the changes will drastically affect ACCESS service to those covered by the ADA. The 65 and older program will still operate all over the county, but will not operate after 10 p.m. and the fares would rise to three different levels; from $2.52 to $3.45 for the least expensive local ride, going up to $5.25 for the most expensive ride. The ADA program will be getting major cuts including providing service only to those who want picked up and dropped off within ¾ of a mile of an actively running bus route, the hours would be reduced to only being eligible to be picked up when your local bus route is also running, and the fares will also increase; basic fare from $2.25 to $3.45, to $4.05, to the most at $5.25. These proposals make it vitally important that we lobby state legislators for public transportation funding so that these changes may not be necessary. There are also PWD funds that may be a possibility that could supplement ACCESS funds, and that state legislators should be made aware of those funds.

Mr. O'Hanlon wanted to clarify that the reduction of ACCESS service will include not running on weekends because many buses do not run on weekends and he wanted to know if any maps showing the affected areas had been made. Ms. Dick replied that there were no maps currently but that they are working on them, and there is chance that these plans could change before they take effect. Mr. McGann inquired if the Committee for Accessible Transportation (CAT) could help address this problem and if yellow cabs are part of ACCESS? Ms. Dick responded that yellow cab is a private business and not part

of ACCESS, but Classy Cab does work with ACCESS currently. Mr. Henderson wanted clarification on what the doubled fare could potentially be to which Ms. Dick responded that if someone wants to take ACCESS and if Port Authority feels it could be done on a bus and they choose not to take the bus then they will be charged double. Mr. Henderson then inquired whether there was a fare ceiling; Ms. Dick stated that an ineligible ACCESS ride could be charged a convenience fee which has no fare ceiling. Mr. O'Hanlon added that there is a ceiling of ten times the ACCESS fare. Ms. Dick responded that she was unaware of that, but these new changes will present a real problem to many riders.

Mr. Tague wanted to make everyone aware that the CAT is having a meeting at UCP Class 1/30/12 at 4 p.m. about these issues, and it is extremely important that the disability community show up on February 29th because this is only a proposal from the Port Authority and it is not set in stone as of yet so we need to make our case. Mr. Tague continued that on February 7th the governor comes out with his budget address that will address transportation and it is important to call the governor's office and let your stance on these issues be known. Ms. Skillings added that there is a flyer in the back with a list of the governor's contact information, Ms. Dick also added that there is a summary of the changes coming to ACCESS also on the back table. Mr. Noschese stated that it is important to consider that if it becomes cheaper to park in Pittsburgh than riding the bus that it will lead people to choose to drive instead of riding the bus. Mr. O'Hanlon agreed that with Port Authority being forced to make these cuts it could create the effect that people need to find other means of transportation such as purchasing a car of their own. Mr. O'Hanlon inquired whether it was possible to get a slot to speak at the public hearing and if they could register that today, Ms. Skillings responded that on February 5th people can start registering spots to speak at the public hearing. Ms. Dick stated that if the slots fill up that responses can be submitted at the meeting through a secretary.

Mr. O'Hanlon stated that we need to be aware that Port Authority is being forced to make these cuts and they shouldn't be blamed because they have had to deal with declining funding from the state for the past five years. It is great to present them with ideas but any ideas that would essentially cost Port Authority more money would not be worth mentioning at this meeting. When Pennsylvania had no transportation options for people with disabilities in the rural areas the Rural Transportation for People with Disabilities Program was started and funded by the state to provide transportation for people in those areas, Allegheny and Philadelphia counties were cut out of that program because those counties both provided border to border transportation; which was in access of what the legal requirement is for the ADA. Possibly Port Authority could have some of their more rural fares within Allegheny county be paid through this program and that we could argue that Allegheny's rural sections to be eligible for the shared ride program to reimburse Port Authority for these trips. We need to be looking at what is going to be effective and what we can get done with the time we have because this will be catastrophic to those outside of the Para-transit service area and are dependant on such service. He added that he heard a phrase "institutionalized in their own homes" and that there would be no option for those in that situation.

Ms. Spruill added that in addition to the service area and time cutbacks, that on weekends and holidays there will be practically no public transportation of any kind to anyone in the city and county because of the very sparse coverage being proposed on weekends and holidays. She suggested that the mayor and county executive should speak publicly about the effects of this and the quality of life issues that will arise with these cutbacks. The last time cuts of this magnitude were a potential the mayor was blindsided by the fact that 11 neighborhoods in Pittsburgh would have no public transportation at all, there was going to be no way for children to get to school, or people to get to work. She hoped this group could reach out to the mayor and county executive to make the request to speak out against this on behalf of the people in the city and county.

Mr. Tague added that he had spoke with CAT Steering Committee Chair Bill Newland and there will be a general membership meeting at 5:30 p.m. on 1/30 at UCP Class. Mr. Meritzer inquired to Ms. Spruill if UCP could help people get information about contacting their state legislators. Ms. Spruill responded that on the UCP website Public Policy page there is a section where you can enter your address and it gives you information about how to contact your state legislators. Mr. McGann agreed that we need to get in contact with the mayor and county executive and see if they have any ideas that can change this. Ms. Carter-Scott added that as a body we need to take a stand on this particular issue and that we shouldn't blame Port Authority but make it aware that people with disabilities and the elderly will severely be affected by these cuts. Mr. O'Hanlon stated that the CAT meeting is a week from today and that we need to look at that as the next step. Ms. Spruill stated that Mr. Meritzer has the best means of communication and was hoping that he could get that information out; Mr. Meritzer responded that he would be able to get that information out but he cannot promote lobbying from his position.

Mr. Bennett inquired if the funding that has been recommended by the commission of $2.7 billion per year would be enough to avoid similar cuts in the future. Ms. Dick responded that her understanding is that that amount would not be enough but would avoid the current cuts being planned. Ms. Spruill added that public experts have said that that amount would be an extremely good start. Ms. Skillings added that what Port Authority is looking for is reliable and dedicated funding that will grow with growth in inflation, and that she agrees with Ms. Spruill that it would be a very good start.

Mr. Tague inquired if the Task Force should set up meetings with the mayor and the county executive to explain to them how this will affect the disability community. Mr. O'Hanlon stated that we should have a conversation about that, but we should be careful to respect the jurisdiction of the CAT because that is the official advisory body for accessible transportation. Mr. O'Hanlon continued that at the upcoming CAT meeting we could discuss how to move forward combining the efforts of the Task Force and the CAT committee. Ms. Spruill added that she agrees that the Task Force should be respectful of the CAT but the Task Force has the responsibility to inform the mayor and county executive just as CAT has the responsibility to inform the Port Authority of these issues. She continued that if a joint effort could be used to show people that this is an extremely serious quality of life issue to people with disabilities within Allegheny

County. When Port Authority made the decision to provide service beyond the legal requirement, it was a decision that the community made to support a certain quality of life for everyone and that it could be gone in a flash. Transportation affects everything in people's lives including healthcare, education, access to goods and services, and that transportation affects pretty much everything in one's life. Ms. Carter-Scott added that in regards to the joint meeting that we should combine to make a written statement out of that meeting that has everyone on the same page with these issues. Mr. O'Hanlon proposed that **the Task Force requests meetings with the mayor and county executive and communicate to them through a written statement our concerns about these cutbacks and that we invite the CAT committee to collaborate and send this written statement and meeting invitations out jointly.** He continued that this will be a long process and that if we proceed in that fashion we can perhaps make best use of our time, Mr. O'Hanlon then asked for a second to his proposal which was seconded by Mr. Wasserman.

Participant stated that bus schedules were had too small print to read and that he felt some routes could be reduced in length and were too long. Mr. O'Hanlon stated that there most likely will no longer be a problem with long bus routes for much longer, and Ms. Skillings added that larger print schedule can be sent to your home if you call and request it from Port Authority. Ms. Dick added that there are also Port Authority schedules with brail.

Mr. O'Hanlon stated that next Monday is the CAT meeting, and if they go there with the joint meeting proposal and that CAT decides to go forward with that plan, the next question is who, where, and how to have the meeting or meetings with the mayor and county executive. Mr. Tague stated that at the steering CAT meeting he could bring this topic up and they could discuss this before the regular CAT meeting later in the day. Mr. O'Hanlon inquired if these potential meetings could be held at the UCP or how these meetings would be handled logistically including interpreters. Mr. Tague responded that regular CAT meetings provide interpreters but he is unsure exactly but there could be something feasible. Ms. Spruill added that if such a meeting can be had UCP would find a way to make space and accommodations available. Mr. Noschese wanted to make sure that the meeting on Monday would have an interpreter because he is going to get the word out to the deaf community. Mr. O'Hanlon stated to consider the request to have been made.

Mr. O'Hanlon then stated we should vote on the proposal to have a joint meeting and written statement. All Task Force members approved.

DISCUSSION
Airport Accessibility

Mr. O'Hanlon stated that it has been suggested that a sub-committee be set up to discuss airport accessibility. Mr. Meritzer stated that Monica Jones from the airport was not able to make the meeting today but he has discussed the issue with Mary Esther van Shura and she is willing to take the point on this issue because it is more a county than a city issue.

He added that Ms. van Shura has requested that we set up a sub-committee and that they will work with Ms. Jones from the airport rather than the entire Task Force on these issues. Ms. van Shura stated that this is a Task Force issue and Ms. Jones has agreed to work with us once we delineate what the issues with the airport are. She added that she wants to meet with a small group and determine what the issues are, what is required from the ADA, what is required of the airport, and who has jurisdiction. Mr. O'Hanlon asked if we should ask for volunteers for the sub-committee. Mr. Bennett inquired if members of this sub-committee would have to be Task Force members, to which Mr. O'Hanlon added that people not on the Task Force could certainly be considered. Mr. McGann wanted to know who would be paying for interpreters because he believes that the FAA, the city, and county could be responsible for those interpreters. Mr. O'Hanlon responded that as we prepare for that meeting that will certainly be one of the first issues that will be ironed out. Mr. Meritzer added that as long as the city is involved with putting together this meeting they can use their funds.

Ms. van Shura stated that we should continue to use the process that we use because this is still part of the Task Force and not a separate entity. She continued that we need to sit down and determine what are the issues that still exist with the airport and to have a small meeting to go over those issues because she has as of yet not been a party to them. Mr. O'Hanlon stated that one of the issues is that the Task Force had requested a meeting at the airport to essentially do a walk through to identify the issues that we were speaking of because there had been some difficulty in conveying these issues with Ms. Jones and there has as of yet not been any acceptance of that request. Ms. van Shura suggested that she, Mr. Meritzer, and Mr. O'Hanlon meet to review the issues that have been brought up regarding the airport and then move on from there.

Mr. Noschese stated that he would like to be involved and at the last meeting he had asked Ms. Jones if he could have a meeting with her which she declined and he wanted these issues back on the agenda. He added that Ms. Jones was very dismissive with him and would not agree to meeting with him to discuss these issues. Ms. Carter-Scott wanted to thank Ms. van Shura for getting involved with this and that people on both sides were getting frustrated with this situation. Ms. van Shura added that she has not be involved in the prior discussions about the airport and needs to become better informed through the meeting to learn what the issues are. Mr. O'Hanlon stated that from prior meetings he believes there are a lot of issues from the deaf and hard of hearing community but wants to also learn of issues from the other disability communities regarding the airport. Mr. Meritzer added that Joyce Driben had a number of comments about problems that the blind community has with the airport and Mr. Meritzer will ask if she can be part of this sub-committee. Ms. Spruill added that sometimes people with a full time position are appointed as ADA coordinator for their organization to go along with their current position, but it seems that Ms. Jones doesn't understand her role as an ADA coordinator and that she shouldn't be refusing to hear from a member disability community or no-showing meetings of an official body such as this multiple times. Mr. O'Hanlon stated he does not want to comment on Ms. Jones performance but he understands the concerns, and he wanted to thank Ms. van Shura for getting involved with this process and he looks forward to working with her.

DISCUSSION
Downtown Open Space

Mr. O'Hanlon stated that in May of last year there was a meeting with the city's zoning administrator about the urban open spaces in the down town area concerning open spaces being fenced off, being closed at inappropriate times, and signage indicating it was private property among other issues. He continued that it became an issue in a court trial heard in common pleas court around ten days ago and he had asked the Task Force if they were interested in submitting an Amicus brief in the interest of people with disabilities which the Task Force agreed upon. He was asked to testify about Mellon Green on the corner of Grant St. and 6th St.; while testifying the CEO of BNY Mellon offered to meet with him about the open space and Mr. O'Hanlon then invited him to come to a Task Force meeting which he agreed. He added that someone from BNY Mellon could meet with the Task Force potentially at the April meeting and he wanted to know how the Task Force felt about this. Mr. Tague added that the steps in Mellon Green won't be gone by April. Mr. O'Hanlon stated that during the trial what BNY Mellon was seemingly arguing was that the urban open space that they are required to provide consists of something they refer to as a sidewalk which to those of us in wheelchairs looks like a stairway because it consists of 3 flights of 24 steps. Mr. O'Hanlon continued that he was aghast because the urban open space that is supposed to be accessible to those with disabilities was a stairway.

Mr. Noschese wanted to commend Mr. O'Hanlon for his great work on the Task Force and about this particular issue. Mr. O'Hanlon stated that when he asked the Task Force if they wanted to submit an Amicus brief he didn't ever think this would be something BNY Mellon would want to meet with us about; but this is a really great opportunity. He continued that he assured the CEO of BNY Mellon that we were a friendly group and he would not be walking into a vicious situation; we are accustomed to working with systems and we realize sometimes change take a long time. We are really focused on long term goals as well as relationship building. Mr. Bennett stated that he was concerned whether the representative from BNY Mellon would be coming to meet just to be nice or if they would be actually working towards a plan to help this issue. Mr. O'Hanlon responded that the CEO of BNY Mellon requested the meeting not Mr. O'Hanlon, and that when the planning commission approved that project it was clearly stated that the pathways through the center of Mellon Green would provide disability access.

Mr. O'Hanlon continued that in the court documents that BNY Mellon filed they claim that that is an area set aside for future development, and he wants to know if that area is developed how they would provide disability access between Grant Street and Ross Street. He added that what they seem to suggest is that anyone with a disability could take the long way around, and he would like to know what they are really proposing, how they plan to provide disability access, and what we can do to make sure Mellon Green stays available and open to the public. The other thing that came out at the trial is that they preferred to have closed Mellon Green during the winter and not doing any snow removal and in effect removing access between Ross and Grant. He wants to know why

this is happening, because if Mellon Green is being operated as a public accommodation ADA regulations require that accessibility features be maintained; such as removing snow. These are the issues he wants to bring to The CEO of BNY Mellon and he cannot state what agenda the representative from BNY Mellon may have. Mr. Meritzer requested Mr. O'Hanlon let him know if this is definitely happening for the April meeting so he can inform the city's Zoning Administrator that this is happening because she may have some insights into this matter that we may need.

STAFF REPORTS

Mr. Meritzer stated he and his assistants have been doing a lot of work and that due to time constraints he's going to ask for a brief update on some of their projects:

Mr. Uhrig stated that he received an update from Jack Dougherty at the Mayor's office about the first snow fall earlier in January. The first snow fall went fairly well with the Snow Angels Initiative, they had 46 volunteers serving 85 residents but some volunteers were away for the holidays. They have 263 residents applying for assistance, 93 volunteers, and have matched of those 192 residents with volunteers. They have an interactive map showing recipients needing volunteers and volunteers that need recipients that can be found at: www.pittsburghpa.gov/servepgh/snowangels/map. The figures showing recipients still looking for volunteers broken down by neighborhood is on the back table. Mr. Wasserman inquired whether you could request this service by phone, Mr. Meritzer replied that the Mayor's 311 line can take care of the application process as well as any other information. Mr. Noschese inquired whether the Mayor's 311 line was accessible to the deaf yet, to which Mr. Meritzer replied he believes the problem had been solved and Wendy Urbanik has told him that it has been solved. Mr. McGann inquired whether it was for TTY or video phone, to which Mr. Meritzer replied TTY. Mr. Noschese added that he no longer has access to TTY anymore, he used video phone now. Mr. Meritzer responded that the city does not have any video phones currently. Mr. Meritzer added that he will request a meeting with Ms. Urbanik, Mr. McGann, and Ms. Noschese and they can work out this issue. Mr. Hockenberry added that the Carnegie Library of Pittsburgh offers high speed internet access with a video phone.

Mr. Gross stated since the last CCTF meeting the housing sub-committee met to discuss the problems and solutions to start getting some changes done and help the community group out. He continued that on the last page of the minutes are the next steps to take and they are just waiting on Ms. Tuccillo and Ms. Richards to contact us to determine a meeting date.

Ms. Mikolay stated that we had met with Charles Larew of Duquesne University and a few of his nursing students to discuss their program identifying barriers that their patients encounter on a daily basis. She continued that they want to build a database of barriers that exist within communities, see how we can find solutions to these problems, and to train more people from within the community to identify these problems within their own communities. The program is designed to eliminate these barriers and increase the health of Pittsburgh as a whole. Mr. O'Hanlon inquired what the next step would be in this

process to which Mr. Meritzer replied that the next step would be to identify a neighborhood to work in and work with people from within that neighborhood to identify issues and to get the data back to insert it as a GIS map layer. Mr. Meritzer stated that they hope with this program to be able to track these issues better and to increase the city's pro-activeness when it comes to identifying and solving these problems.

Mr. O'Hanlon stated that long time Task Force member Liz Healy would like to leave the Task Force and to replace herself with someone also from the Intellectual and Development Disability (IDD) community. Mr. O'Hanlon continued that she recommended Karen Wormin and he would like to have a short discussion about the process of replacing Ms. Healy. Mr. Meritzer added that Ms. Healy was also a representative as a parent of a consumer of disability services. Ms. Carter-Scott stated that she would like to see an individual with an intellectual disability be a part of this group because she feels that maybe we haven't assured that all issues are represented at times. She added that maybe a younger person to be apart of their group would be nice so we could hear how the issues are now and how it affects them; it is important that we are addressing the issues of everyone who has a disability. Mr. Noschese responded that a problem with having younger members is that they work during the day so maybe we could consider in the future of having six meetings during the day and six meetings in the evenings because he feels it would be great to have input from younger members of the disability community. Mr. Meritzer stated that he would be concerned that a substantial number of people that attend these meetings would not be able to do so if the meetings were in the evenings. Mr. O'Hanlon stated that we would be able to discuss the possibility of having evening meetings in the future but wanted to know what the next step would be in transitioning Ms. Healy off the Task Force. Mr. Tague added that the last time someone was added to the Task Force a committee was formed to see what the needs of the Task Force are and also there is a process of whom to recommend someone to either the mayor or the county executive for appointment. Mr. Meritzer added that in the past we have put out a general call for applications and have never recommended someone without looking to the public for potential members first. Mr. O'Hanlon stated that we can further discuss this matter at the next meeting and proceed from there.

Mr. Tague made the motion that the meeting be adjourned, Mr. Henderson seconded that motion.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:00 PM

THE NEXT TASK FORCE MEETING
DATE: March 19th, 2012
TIME: 1:00 P.M.
LOCATION: Large Conference Room
200 Ross Street