

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

DP CASE: 40 of 2013

ADDRESS: 31 Wenzell Place

WARD: 19TH

PROPERTY OWNER: Robin Corbett

CITATION : NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY

CCR# 13018356

5503(a)(3) DISORDERLY CONDUCT - OBSCENE LANG/GEST

01/29/2013

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

I had knowledge of the Disruptive Activity, but took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.

Appearances:

Appellant

Objector(s)

Observers:

Previous Citations: None

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

DP CASE: 14 of 2013

ADDRESS: 2648 LELAND STREET

WARD: 26TH

PROPERTYOWNER: Spittler Family Limited Partnership

**CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY
CCR# 12207898
13(a)(16) Possession of Controlled Substance-Heroin
13(a)(32) Paraphernalia-Use or Possession
10/25/2012**

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

- 1. I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity, and could not with reasonable care and diligence have known of the activity; but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future disruptive activity.**
- 2. Person is not tenant of property. Tenant is looking for new residence.**

Appearances:

Appellant

Objector (s)

Observers:

Previous Citations: None

Disruptive Properties Appeal Board Hearing Agenda May 8, 2013

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

DP CASE: 41 of 2013

ADDRESS: 1500 Fallowfield Dr. Apt 2-C

WARD: 19TH

PROPERTYOWNER: LBE Inc.

CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY

CCR# 13004797

13(a)(16) Possession of Controlled Substance-Crack Cocaine

13(a)(30) Possession w/Intent to Del. Controlled Substance

01/08/2013

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

- 1. I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not with reasonable care and diligence, have known of the activity; but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.**
- 2. An eviction has been commenced in a court of law and I am actively prosecuting said eviction against the offending tenant.**

Appearances:

Appellant:

Objector(s):

Observers:

Previous Citations: None

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

DP CASE: 42 of 2013

ADDRESS: 424 S. Fairmount Street Apt. 7

WARD: 8TH

PROPERTY OWNER: Adelio and Rosalia Pampena

CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY

CCR# 13011018

13(a)(30) Possession w/Intent to Del. Controlled Substance-Crack Cocaine

13(a)(16) Possession of Controlled Substance-Crack Cocaine

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

- 1. I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not with reasonable care and diligenc, have known of the activity; but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.**
- 2. An eviction has been commenced in a court of law and I am actively prosecuting said eviction against the offending tenant.**
- 3. Tenants have since been evicted from this property.**

Appearances:

Appellant

Objector(s)

Observers:

Previous Citations: None

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

DP CASE: 43 of 2013

ADDRESS: 337 South Pacific Avenue Apt. 6

WARD: 8TH

PROPERTY OWNER: Adelio and Rosalia Pampena

**CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY
633.08 Dogs at Large Prohibited
01/13/2013**

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not with reasonable care and diligence have known of the activity; but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.

Appearances:

Appellant

Objector(s)

Observers:

Previous Citations: None

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00

DP CASE: 44 of 2013

ADDRESS: 725 Moravian Way

WARD: 23RD

PROPERTY OWNER: Elise and Charles Yanders

CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY

CCR# 13015577

13(a)(30) Possession w/ Intent to Del. Controlled Substance - Heroin

1/25/2013

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

- 1. I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not, with reasonable care and diligence have known of the activity; but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.**
- 2. An eviction has been commenced in a court of law and I am actively prosecuting said eviction against the offending tenant.**

Appearances:

Appellant

Objector(s)

Observers:

Previous Citations: None