

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

DP CASE: 17 of 2011

ADDRESS: 643 Liberty Avenue, Apt. 1402 15222

WARD: 2nd

PROPERTY OWNER: Midtown Tower Associates

CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY
February 10, 2011
13 (a) (16) Possession of Controlled Substance-Marijuana
13 (a) (30) Possession w/ Intent to Del. Controlled Substance-Marijuana

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

1. I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity, but took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity
2. Management requested help and cooperation of police to prosecute this tenant, knowing a conviction would give sufficient cause for eviction. The tenant was served a 30-day eviction notice and has moved from the property.

Appearances:

Appellant

Objectors

Observers:

Previous Notices: None

TIME OF HEARING: 9:10 AM

DP CASE: 18 OF 2011

ADDRESS: 633 Eureka Street, 15210

WARD: 18th

PROPERTY OWNER: Susan Gibson

**CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY
January 21, 2011
13 (a) (31) Marijuana Possession Small Amount**

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

1. I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not, with reasonable care and diligence have known of the activity, but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.
2. Other: The tenant was evicted upon receipt of expedited eviction request and after speaking directly with Assistant District Attorney.

Appearances:

Appellant

Objector(s)

Observers:

Previous Notices: None

TIME OF HEARING: 9:20 AM

DP CASE: 19 OF 2011

ADDRESS: 2445 Bedford Avenue, Apt. 325

WARD: 5th

PROPERTY OWNER: Housing Authority, City of Pittsburgh

**CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY
February 11, 2011
13 (a) (32) Paraphernalia-Use or Possession-digital scale
13 (a) (16) Possession of Controlled Substance-crack cocaine**

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

1. I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not, with reasonable care and diligence, have known of the activity; but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future disruptive activity
2. An eviction has been commenced in a court of law and I am actively prosecuting said eviction against the offending tenant

Appearances:

Appellant

Objector(s)

Observers:

Previous Notices: None

TIME OF HEARING: 9:30 AM

DP CASE: 20 OF 2011

ADDRESS: 2439 Bedford Avenue, Apt. 335

WARD: 5th

PROPERTY OWNER: Housing Authority, City of Pittsburgh

**CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY
March 1, 2011
13 (a) (30) Possession w/ Intent to Del. Controlled Substance-ecstasy/mdma
13 (a) (16) Possession of Controlled Substance-ecstasy/mdma**

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

- 1 I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not, with reasonable care and diligence have known of the activity, but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity
- 2 An eviction has been commenced in a court of law and I am actively prosecuting said eviction against the offending tenant.
3. Other: (no explanation given)

Appearances:

Appellant

Objector(s)

Observers:

Previous Notices: None

TIME OF HEARING: 9:40 AM

DP CASE: 21 OF 2011

ADDRESS: 1614 Sandusky Court

WARD: 25th

PROPERTY OWNER: Housing Authority, City of Pittsburgh

**CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY
April 1, 2011
13 (a) (31) Marijuana Possession Small Amount
13 (a) (16) Possession of Controlled Substance-crack cocaine**

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

- 1 I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not, with reasonable care and diligence have known of the activity, but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.
- 2 Other: The juvenile is not a resident, nor was he found with a resident or at any resident's apartment.

Appearances:

Appellant

Objector(s)

Observers:

Previous Notices: None

TIME OF HEARING: 9:50 AM

DP CASE: 22 OF 2011

ADDRESS: 2052 Bentley Drive, Apt. 793

WARD: 4th

PROPERTY OWNER: Housing Authority, City of Pittsburgh

**CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY
January 11, 2011
13 (a) (16) Possession of Controlled Substance-Heroin
13 (a) (32) Paraphernalia-Use or Possession-orange straw**

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

1. I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not, with reasonable care and diligence have known of the activity, but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.
2. Other: No knowledge of incident, however, tenant was evicted and locked out 3/25/11.

Appearances:

Appellant

Objector(s)

Observers:

Previous Notices: 6/24/10

**13(a) (16) Possession of Controlled Substance-Heroin
13(a) (16) Possession of Controlled Substance-Marijuana
13(a) (30) Possession w/Intent to Deliver a Controlled Substance**

TIME OF HEARING: 10:00 AM

DP CASE: 23 OF 2011

ADDRESS: 2061 Bentley Drive, Apt. 513

WARD: 4th

PROPERTY OWNER: Housing Authority, City of Pittsburgh

**CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY
November 17, 2010
13 (a) (16) Possession of Controlled Substance
13 (a) (32) Paraphernalia –Use and Possession-Digital Scale**

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

- 1 I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not, with reasonable care and diligence have known of the activity, but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.
- 2 An eviction has been commenced in a court of law and I am actively prosecuting said eviction against the offending tenant.

Appearances:

Appellant

Objector(s)

Observers:

Previous Notices: None

Disruptive Properties Appeal Board Hearing Agenda June 8, 2011

TIME OF HEARING: 10:10 AM

DP CASE: 24 OF 2011

ADDRESS: 2547 Chauncey drive, Apt. 271

WARD: 5th

PROPERTY OWNER: Housing Authority, City of Pittsburgh

**CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY
November 24, 2010
13 (a) (31) Marijuana: Possession Small Amount-Marijuana
13 (a) (30) Possession w/ Intent to Del. Controlled Substance-Crack Cocaine**

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

- 1 I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not, with reasonable care and diligence have known of the activity, but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity
- 2 An eviction has been commenced in a court of law and I am actively prosecuting said eviction against the offending tenant
- 3 Other: Leaseholder was evicted 12/10. Actor on report (arrestee) has been placed on HACP exclusion list.

Appearances:

Appellant

Objector(s)

Observers:

Previous Notices: None