

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

DP CASE: 18 of 2015

ADDRESS: 704 2nd Avenue

WARD: 1st

PROPERTY OWNER: Renewal Inc

CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY

DP #18

CCR# 15054056

5503 Disorderly Conduct

3/29/2015

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

- 1. I had knowledge of the Disruptive Activity, but took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.**
- 2. I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not, with reasonable care and diligence, have known of the activity; but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.**
- 3. Other: We are not certain of the nature of the Disruptive activity and do not believe the problem was caused by our property.**

Appearances:

Appellant

Objector(s)

Observers:

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

DP CASE: 45,46,47,48 of 2015

ADDRESS: 1223 ARNOLD STREET

WARD: 21st

PROPERTYOWNER: Bonnie Goodnow

CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY

DP#45

CCR#15105248

633.12

6/4/2015

DP#46

CCR#15105248

**633.09(a) Harboring a Nuisance; any animal to create offensive odor,
excessive noise or unsanitary condition**

6/4/2015

DP#47

CCR#15105248

633.12 Nuber of Pets Permitted in City Limits

6/4/2015

DP#48-Declaration

CCR#15105248

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

- 1. I had knowledge of the Disruptive Activity, but took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.**

Appearances:

Appellant:

Objector(s):

Observers:

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

DP CASE: 44 OF 2015

ADDRESS: 705 Valonia Avenue

WARD: 20th

PROPERTY OWNER: Balmain Super 1 LLC

CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY

CCR# 15061894

13(a)(16) Possession of Controlled substance-Diazepam

13(a)(32) Paraphernalia-Use or Possession-Marijuana pipe

13(a)(31) Possession-Marijuana Small Amount

4/10/2015

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

- 1. I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not, with reasonable care and diligence, have known of the activity; but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.**
- 2. Other: Have notified Rental managers to carry out inspections on the property.**

Appearances:

Appellant

Objector(s)

Observers:

Previous Citations: