



CITY OF PITTSBURGH
AWARD JUSTIFICATION FORM

47644

BID TITLE: Conservation of City-Owned Artworks

SPECIFICATION DESCRIPTION: The bid is for the conservation of 3 city-owned artworks currently in storage. The cost of this conservation work is paid for by a grant from the Richard King Mellon Foundation.

CONTRACT DURATION AND/OR EXPIRATION DATE: December 31, 2010

DATE ADVERTISED: August 19, 2006

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: September 22, 2006

BIDS SENT (NUMBER): 2

BIDS RECEIVED (NUMBER): 2

REJECTED BIDS
(NAME / REASON): Intermuseum Conservation Co. This firm was rejected because McKay Lodge had the lower bid.

VENDORS SUBMITTING "NO BID" (NAME): _____

LATE BIDS (NAME): _____

DEPARTMENT / AUTHORITY RECOMMENDING AWARD: Department of City Planning
McKay Lodge Conservation Laboratory Inc.

WAS AWARD MADE TO LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER(S)? YES NO

IF NO, DEPARTMENT AND/OR AUTHORITY MUST PROVIDE EXPLANATION



CITY OF PITTSBURGH
 AWARD JUSTIFICATION FORM

78403

BID TITLE: PLANPGH - Cultural Heritage Plan

SPECIFICATION DESCRIPTION: Professional Services

CONTRACT DURATION AND/OR EXPIRATION DATE: February 1, 2010 - August 1, 2011

DATE ADVERTISED: July 2, 2009

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: July 31, 2009

BIDS SENT (NUMBER): 0

BIDS RECEIVED (NUMBER): 3

REJECTED BIDS (NAME / REASON): Peter J. Smith & Co - rejected by committee vote; sub-consultants not pre-qualified by PHMC (funding agency)
 Phillips Preiss Shapiro - rejected by committee vote; sub-consultants not pre-qualified by PHMC (funding agency)
 Clarion Associates, LLC - rejected by committee vote; sub-consultants not pre-qualified by PHMC (funding agency)

VENDORS SUBMITTING "NO BID" (NAME): None

LATE BIDS (NAME): None

DEPARTMENT / AUTHORITY RECOMMENDING AWARD: Department of City Planning

WAS AWARD MADE TO LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER(S)? No

IF NO, DEPARTMENT AND/OR AUTHORITY MUST PROVIDE EXPLANATION This is a fixed budget project, and the Department felt that the consultants who submitted bids under the fixed budget amount did not have the qualifications or experience to perform the tasks related to the Plan. In addition, all of the other bids would have had to have been revised, as their sub-consultants were not pre-qualified by the funding agency for a later phase of the work on this Plan.

Contract Name/Contract Number PLANPGH - Cultural Heritage Plan Contract



CITY OF PITTSBURGH
AWARD JUSTIFICATION FORM

48403
SUPP. 2

BID TITLE: PLANPGH - Cultural Heritage Plan

SPECIFICATION DESCRIPTION: Professional Services

CONTRACT DURATION AND/OR EXPIRATION DATE: February 1, 2010 - August 1, 2011

DATE ADVERTISED: July 2, 2009

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: July 31, 2009

BIDS SENT (NUMBER): 0

BIDS RECEIVED (NUMBER): 3

REJECTED BIDS (NAME / REASON): Peter J. Smith & Co - rejected by committee vote; sub-consultants not pre-qualified by PHMC (funding agency)
Phillips Prais Shapiro - rejected by committee vote; sub-consultants not pre-qualified by PHMC (funding agency)
Clarion Associates, LLC - rejected by committee vote; sub-consultants not pre-qualified by PHMC (funding agency)

VENDORS SUBMITTING "NO BID" (NAME): None

LATE BIDS (NAME): None

DEPARTMENT / AUTHORITY RECOMMENDING AWARD: Department of City Planning

WAS AWARD MADE TO LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER(S)? No

IF NO, DEPARTMENT AND/OR AUTHORITY MUST PROVIDE EXPLANATION: This is a fixed budget project, and the Department felt that the consultants who submitted bids under the fixed budget amount did not have the qualifications or experience to perform the tasks related to the Plan. In addition, all of the other bids would have had to have been revised, as their sub-consultants were not pre-qualified by the funding agency for a later phase of the work on this Plan.

Contract Name/Contract Number: PLANPGH - Cultural Heritage Plan Contract



48757

CITY OF PITTSBURGH
AWARD JUSTIFICATION FORM

BID TITLE: SMART Corridor Transit Revitalization District (TRID) Planning Studies

SPECIFICATION DESCRIPTION: Professional Services

CONTRACT DURATION AND/OR EXPIRATION DATE: August 18, 2010 - May 31, 2011

DATE ADVERTISED: December 14, 2009

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: February 5, 2010

BIDS SENT (NUMBER): 0

BIDS RECEIVED (NUMBER): 13

REJECTED BIDS (NAME / REASON):
Parsons Brinckerhoff - Brought in for interview, but rejected by vote of Management Committee
AECOM - Brought in for interview, but rejected by vote of Management Committee
Nelson-Nygaard - Brought in for interview, but rejected by vote of Management Committee
Madden Planning - Brought in for interview, but rejected by vote of Management Committee
WRA - Rejected by aggregate rating of proposal by Management Committee
WRT - Rejected by aggregate rating of proposal by Management Committee
BBP - Rejected by aggregate rating of proposal by Management Committee
EConsult - Rejected by aggregate rating of proposal by Management Committee
4ward Planning - Rejected by aggregate rating of proposal by Management Committee
Jones, Lang, LaSalle - Rejected by aggregate rating of proposal by Management Committee
IBI Group - Rejected by aggregate rating of proposal by Management Committee
HRA - Rejected by aggregate rating of proposal by Management Committee

VENDORS SUBMITTING "NO BID" (NAME): None

LATE BIDS (NAME): None

DEPARTMENT / AUTHORITY RECOMMENDING AWARD: Department of City Planning

WAS AWARD MADE TO LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER(S)? No

IF NO, DEPARTMENT AND/OR AUTHORITY MUST PROVIDE EXPLANATION: This is a fixed budget project, and the Management Committee for the Plan felt that the consultants who submitted bids under the fixed budget amount did not have the qualifications or experience to perform the tasks related to the Plan.

Contract Name/Contract Number Transit Revitalization Investment District Planning Study