



47365

CITY OF PITTSBURGH
AWARD JUSTIFICATION FORM

BID TITLE: Tree Vitalize (non profit partner)

SPECIFICATION DESCRIPTION: Non profit partner acceptable to PA who will raise local matching funds for PA grant.

CONTRACT DURATION AND/OR EXPIRATION DATE: 1 January 2008 to 1 July 2012; extended based on PA grant

DATE ADVERTISED: na

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: na

BIDS SENT (NUMBER): na

BIDS RECEIVED (NUMBER): na

REJECTED BIDS (NAME / REASON): na

VENDORS SUBMITTING "NO BID" (NAME): Western PA Conservancy

LATE BIDS (NAME): na

DEPARTMENT / AUTHORITY RECOMMENDING AWARD: Department of City Planning

WAS AWARD MADE TO LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER(S)? na

IF NO, DEPARTMENT AND/OR AUTHORITY MUST PROVIDE EXPLANATION

Tree Vitalize is a State grant program involving the City, the County (Parks Department) and the Western PA. Conservancy (WPC). While the City is the grant recipient the partnership was established by the State. The grant must be multi municipal and the involvement of the County meets that need. There must also be a local match to the State funds (\$ to \$) and the WPC is responsible for raising that match (foundation funds that would not be awarded directly to the City). The City has the option to utilize the WPC as a partner, or not have the Tree Vitalize grant program. The County receives no direct funding but does receive trees, does prepare sites for planting, and does assure survival of trees planted. The WPC, in addition to raising matching funds, selects and purchases trees, contracts plantings, contracts for tree tender courses, manages the local Steering Committee, prepares program literature, and receives applications from community organizations. The grants are awarded annually, necessitating the need to extend agreements and revise funding levels.



CITY OF PITTSBURGH
AWARD JUSTIFICATION FORM

78403

BID TITLE: PLANPGH - Cultural Heritage Plan

SPECIFICATION DESCRIPTION: Professional Services

CONTRACT DURATION AND/OR EXPIRATION DATE: February 1, 2010 - August 1, 2011

DATE ADVERTISED: July 2, 2009

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: July 31, 2009

BIDS SENT (NUMBER): 0

BIDS RECEIVED (NUMBER): 3

REJECTED BIDS (NAME / REASON): Peter J. Smith & Co - rejected by committee vote; sub-consultants not pre-qualified by PHMC (funding agency)
Phillips Preiss Shapero - rejected by committee vote; sub-consultants not pre-qualified by PHMC (funding agency)
Clarion Associates, LLC - rejected by committee vote; sub-consultants not pre-qualified by PHMC (funding agency)

VENDORS SUBMITTING "NO BID" (NAME): None

LATE BIDS (NAME): None

DEPARTMENT / AUTHORITY RECOMMENDING AWARD: Department of City Planning

WAS AWARD MADE TO LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER(S)? No

IF NO, DEPARTMENT AND/OR AUTHORITY MUST PROVIDE EXPLANATION This is a fixed budget project, and the Department felt that the consultants who submitted bids under the fixed budget amount did not have the qualifications or experience to perform the tasks related to the Plan. In addition, all of the other bids would have had to have been revised, as their sub-consultants were not pre-qualified by the funding agency for a later phase of the work on this Plan.

Contract Name/Contract Number PLANPGH - Cultural Heritage Plan Contract



CITY OF PITTSBURGH
AWARD JUSTIFICATION FORM

49039

BID TITLE: East Liberty Transit Revitalization District (TRID) Planning Study

SPECIFICATION DESCRIPTION: Professional Services

CONTRACT DURATION AND/OR EXPIRATION DATE: December 15, 2010 - May 31, 2011

DATE ADVERTISED: July 27, 2010

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: August 20, 2010

BIDS SENT (NUMBER): 0

BIDS RECEIVED (NUMBER): 4

REJECTED BIDS (NAME / REASON):
Nelson Nygaard - Brought in for interview, but rejected by vote of Management Committee
Delta Development - Rejected by aggregate rating of proposal by Management Committee
Urban Partners - Rejected by aggregate rating of proposal by Management Committee

VENDORS SUBMITTING "NO BID" (NAME): None

LATE BIDS (NAME): None

DEPARTMENT / AUTHORITY RECOMMENDING AWARD: Department of City Planning

WAS AWARD MADE TO LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER(S)? No

IF NO, DEPARTMENT AND/OR AUTHORITY MUST PROVIDE EXPLANATION
This is a fixed budget project. Selection was made based upon criteria such as experience, knowledge of local issues, WBE and MBE goals, and interview performance. All proposers costed the project exactly to the budget limit.

Contract Name/Contract Number East Liberty Transit Revitalization Investment District Planning Study