

20

BUILDING PITTSBURGH WITH



URBAN REDEVELOPMENT
AUTHORITY OF PITTSBURGH

URBAN REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

AWARD JUSTIFICATION FORM

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

PROJECT NAME: Hill District Master Plan _____

SERVICES REQUESTED: Planning Services _____

CONTRACT DURATION: December 2009 – January 2011 _____

DATE OF RFP:

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: May 15, 2009 _____

PROPOSALS REQUESTED:

PROPOSALS RECEIVED: 25 _____
(Number)

PROPOSERS SUBMITTING: _____

- ARUP
- Burt Hill and Ebony Holdings
- Chan Krieger Sieniewicz
- CHPlanning Limited
- Cooper Robertson and Partners
- Design Collective
- EDAW, Inc.
- EDSA and RKG
- Ehrenkrantz Eckstut and Kuhn Architects
- GHD Consulting
- H2L2
- Interface Studio LLC
- Johnson Mirmiran and Thompson
- Jonathan Rose Companies
- Looney Ricks Kiss Architects, Inc.
- MacLachlan Cornelius and Filoni
- OLIN
- Perkins + Will
- Rothschild Doyno Collaborative
- RTKL Associates
- Stull and Lee and Perkins Eastman
- The Dawson Company

- The Planning Partnership
- Torti Gallas and Partners, Inc.
- Urban Strategies, Inc.

PROPOSER SELECTED: CHPlanning Limited _____

CONTRACTED AMOUNT: \$350,000 _____

CRITERIA FOR SELECTION:

- Project Approach
- Composition of Project Team
- Organization, Presentation and Content of the Proposal
- Proposed Methods and Overall Plan to Provide Services
- Knowledge and Understanding of the Local Environment
- Project Team Diversity
- Project Budget
- "Gut" Reaction

REJECTED PROPOSALS: _____

The twenty-four proposals that were not selected received lower scoring on the above criteria than CHPlanning, Limited.

DEPARTMENT/AUTHORITY
RECOMMENDING AWARD: Executive

WAS AWARD MADE TO LOWEST
QUALIFIED PROPOSER? NO

IF NO, DEPARTMENT AND/OR AUTHORITY MUST PROVIDE EXPLANATION:

The Selection Team felt that CHPlanning was the most qualified firm to undertake the Hill District master plan – lower bids came from less qualified teams. Their proposed budget is within the amount budgeted for this project.