Minutes of the Meeting of November 6, 2013
Beginning at 12:30 PM
200 Ross Street
First Floor Hearing Room
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

In Attendance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Members</th>
<th>Staff</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linda McClellan</td>
<td>Sarah Quinn</td>
<td>James Havens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Serrao</td>
<td>Sharon Spooner</td>
<td>Jack Harnick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Jennings</td>
<td>Nicholas Fedorek</td>
<td>Evelyn Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ernie Hogan</td>
<td>Elliot Levenson</td>
<td>Doug Sipp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bill Kolano</td>
<td>Russell Blaich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ellen Kitzerow</td>
<td>Bob Russ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Canard Grigsby</td>
<td>Lauren Gratchick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peter Margittai</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Old Business—Ms. Quinn states that she spoke with Ms. Ismail and Mr. Serrao regarding 1906 Chateau Street and decided that it should be added to the agenda under old business. The Commission decides instead that it should be added as an actual agenda item next month so they can make a decision on it.

New Business

Approval of Minutes: The October minutes are not available; the Commission tables them for the December meeting.

Certificates of Appropriateness: In regards to the October 2013 Certificates of Appropriateness, Mr. Serrao motions to approve and Mr. Jennings seconds; all are in favor and motion carries.

Other:

1. Ms. Quinn states that staff has been working on a historic nomination for the city steps, which is timely as there has been concern on the South Side about demolition of steps. She states that they fall under criterion #9 for historic designation.

2. Mr. Hogan mentions that the Commission issued a Certificate of Appropriateness for demolition of the railroad bridge in Allegheny Commons Park back in 2010, and since they did not act on it back then he wonders if they should have come back before the Commission.

3. Ms. Quinn says that generally, as long as a project hasn’t changed, she will issue extension letters for Certificates of Appropriateness.

4. Mr. Hogan says he is OK with that since the Commission’s decision was clear, which was full demolition of the bridge and restoration of the landscaping. However, he is hearing from the neighborhood that they are only taking the deck down, and are putting up chain link fence instead of landscaping. He wonders what the actual permit says.
5. Ms. Quinn says her understanding was that they were going to take the whole bridge down. She understands that there was concern about the landscaping and that it should be put back the way it was, and suggested that they redo it if it is not right the first time.

6. Mr. Hogan says that is fine as long as they are demolishing it to grade. He doesn’t know if they will be leaving the abutments or not.

7. Mr. Jennings says the bridge, being in the public right of way, does not fall under the jurisdiction of BBI, so they would not have issued a permit.

8. Mr. Hogan says that one of the conditions of demolition was that the City secure the air rights to be able to rebuild the bridge. The other condition was that the landscaping be restored.

9. Mr. Jennings will check and see if BBI has any jurisdiction over it.

Adjourn:

Mr. Serrao motions to adjourn.

Mr. Jennings seconds.

Mr. Hogan asks for a vote; all are in favor and meeting is adjourned.

The discussion of the agenda items follows.
**Discussion:**

1. Mr. James Havens steps to the podium. He explains the project, stating that they are proposing to construct a two car garage. He states that they have made one change to the plans; as the neighbors were concerned about the use of split-face block on either side of the garage doors, they are now proposing to use brick there. They have already been before the Zoning Board with this project and are now just waiting for historic approval. He states that the rear of the house where the garage will be faces an industrial area and parking.

2. Mr. Hogan asks about the brick they are proposing.

3. Mr. Havens says the brick will be above the lintel of the garage door and down the returns of each side of the door. All of the handrails and steps and treads will be made out of cypress.

4. Mr. Serrao asks if they are keeping the copper cap.

5. Mr. Havens says yes.

6. Mr. Hogan acknowledges an email from Carole Malakoff of the Allegheny West LRC recommending that they use the brick and also that they use wood for the railing and garage door.

7. Mr. Havens says they will be doing that. They don’t know what type of wood they will use for the garage door yet, but it will be a custom made wooden door.

8. Mr. Hogan asks for public comment; there is none.

**Motion:**

9. Mr. Serrao motions to approve the construction of the garage and fencing, with the noted changes of a wooden garage door and brick alley façade.

10. Mr. Jennings seconds.

11. Mr. Hogan asks for a vote; all are in favor and motion carries.
2600 E. Carson Street

East Carson Street Historic District

Owner: 2600 Southside Associates LP
2600 Southside Associates LP
750 Holiday Drive, Suite 570
Pittsburgh, Pa 15220

Applicant: Kolano Design
6026 Penn Circle South
Pittsburgh, Pa 15206

Ward: 16th
Lot and Block: 12-M-36
Inspector: Brian Ralston
Council District: 3rd
Application Received: 10/18/13

National Register Status: Listed: X Eligible:

Proposed Changes: Signage.

Discussion:

1. Mr. Bill Kolano of Kolano Design steps to the podium; they are the sign designers for the project. He shows photos of the building, explaining that it consists of the large mass in front and then an addition to the left that is smaller. He states that there at one time had been some type of projecting canopy on the front of the building, which they discovered the skeletal framework of when they pulled down the cladding. He also points out a carved decorative band between the window mullions. The side of the building is relatively blank. Their proposal for the signage is to create a fabric canopy that draws from the shape of the framework left on the building, and then to band it with a piece of metal with dimensional letters that will sit on top of the metal. The challenge with the tenant signs is how to do it with as few attachments as possible to the historic façade. Their solution is to have an attachment point at the left and right into the stone vertical members, and use a subtly curved bar that spans across the window opening with dimensional letters placed on top of it. The letters will be illuminated on the front face and not on the returns. For the side of the building they are proposing a lifestyle graphic for the large blank wall area, and they may open the doors and windows or place lifestyle graphics there. He shows the elevations with the planned signage and says they would like to use a graphic in the entrance area as well. He shows a sample of the letters and shows pictures of other signs in the East Carson Street historic district.

2. Mr. Hogan states that letters lit from within are not permitted in the historic district. The letter would have to be backlit or have light shining on them.

3. Mr. Kolano asks if they can light the returns of the letters instead.

4. Mr. Hogan says that would work.

5. Mr. Hogan asks for public comment; there is none.
Motion:

6. Mr. Serrao motions to approve the signage with the modification that the letters be side-return-lit instead of face-lit.

7. Mr. Jennings seconds, with the comment that he appreciates their solution for the signage using so few attachment points to the historic façade.

8. Mr. Hogan asks for a vote; all are in favor and motion carries.
1319 Allegheny Avenue Manchester Historic District

Owner: Manchester Citizens Corporation
1319 Allegheny Avenue
Pittsburgh, Pa 15233

Ward: 21st
Lot and Block: 22-R-50

Applicant: LaShawn Burton-Faulk
1319 Allegheny Avenue
Pittsburgh, Pa 15233

Inspector: Jim Seskey
Council District: 6th
Application Received: 10/11/13

National Register Status: Listed: Eligible:

Proposed Changes: After-the-fact fencing.

Discussion:

1. Ms. LaShawn Burton-Faulk steps to the podium; she is the executive director of Manchester Citizen’s Corporation. She shows photos of the fence and points out that the neighboring house has the same galvanized fencing. The fencing they installed is set back from the alleyway, so that the posts could be anchored in their parking lot. They installed the fencing for safety and security to protect their vehicles, and also to prevent their dumpster from being used as a dumping site for improper items.

2. Mr. Serrao asks about the length of the fence.

3. Ms. Burton-Faulk says it is about a hundred feet.

4. Mr. Hogan says the issue is in historic districts fences normally have to be wood.

5. Mr. Serrao says that chain link is discouraged but not prohibited in the guidelines.

6. Mr. Hogan asks for public comment; there is none.

7. Mr. Jennings suggests some type of wooden slat in the fence, although that may make it more prominent.

8. Ms. Quinn suggests landscaping.

9. Mr. Serrao says it looks like they already have landscaping everywhere they can; everything else is paved.

10. Ms. Burton-Faulk says that is correct.

11. Mr. Hogan says the fence is set back probably about 200 feet from the street and is not too prominent.

12. Ms. Quinn says it is a commercial property rather than residential, which may make the chain link fence more acceptable.

13. Mr. Jennings suggests they can paint it a dark color.
14. Mr. Hogan says painting it black will help it recede from view.

15. Ms. Burton-Faulk says that will be acceptable.

**Motion:**

16. Mr. Serrao motions to approve the fencing with the condition that the fence mesh and posts be painted black.

17. Mr. Jennings seconds.

18. Mr. Hogan asks for a vote; Mr. Serrao, Mr. Jennings, and Ms. McClellan are in favor and Mr. Hogan abstains. Motion carries.
4031 Fifth Avenue  

Oakland Civic Center Historic District

**Owner:**
University of Pittsburgh  
127 N. Bellefield Avenue  
Pittsburgh, Pa 15260

**Ward:** 4th

**Lot and Block:** 27-R-59

**Inspector:** Mark Sanders

**Council District:** 4th

**Application Received:** 10/17/13

**Proposed Changes:** Signage.

**Discussion:**

1. Mr. Jack Harnick with Accel Sign Group steps to the podium; he states that he is the installation contractor for the project. He explains that PNC Bank is looking to install one illuminated cabinet sign over the entrance of their new branch. He shows the drawing and states that it shows an evening view of the sign as well to show that the background of the sign is opaque and routed out so only the logo and the lettering will be lit. He states that his group is not the designer for the project but will pass along the Commission’s comments to the sign manufacturer. He asks if the district standard is that the letters cannot illuminate.

2. Mr. Hogan says that is correct, they can either install the sign and not light it, or they can light it from the front.

3. Mr. Harnick asks if they can install a fixture above or below to light it.

4. Mr. Serrao states they can install a fixture or a spot.

5. Mr. Hogan states that they can install goose-neck lamps and light it that way.

6. Ms. Quinn states that any fixtures would need to be approved as well.

7. Mr. Hogan says that those could be approved over the counter.

8. Ms. Quinn states she will if the Commission gives her permission.

9. Mr. Hogan asks for public comment.

10. Mr. Kennard Grigsby of the University of Pittsburgh steps to the podium. He states that they would like to take the aesthetic of the building into consideration. They have a number of wall-mounted sconces along the Fifth Avenue side of the building, and adding goose-neck lamps or other fixtures would detract from the design of the façade.

11. Mr. Hogan asks how they did the signage for the rest of the building.

12. Mr. Grigsby states that they installed bronze plaques on the University Place side
to announce the tenants. These signs are not illuminated, the only illumination is from the wall sconces in addition to the street and city lighting. He states that the proposed PNC sign is backlit with an LED fixture, and he states again that he feels installation of a track light or goose-neck lamp would detract from the façade.

13. Mr. Hogan asks how the university did the signage for the bookstore [across the street].

14. Ms. Quinn states that the signage for the bookstore was never approved. She spoke to the sign contractor who stated that she would submit an application but so far she has not. Ms. Quinn states that her concern with the signage is that it is backlit with LEDs, and anyone travelling down Fifth Avenue can see all the LEDs behind the letters; the backlighting only works when you are looking at the signage head-on.

15. Mr. Grigsby says the letters are on stand-offs, and the LEDs are mounted to the building behind the letters. He states that the PNC signage will not be like that.

16. Mr. Serrao says it will be a sign box within a curtain wall system.

17. Mr. Grigsby says the sign box will be inside the storefront behind one of the transoms.

18. Mr. Harnick says the letters are translucent so the LEDs cannot be seen.

19. Mr. Grigsby states that the sign won’t add to the foot-candles of the street; it is just meant to glow with the LEDs behind it.

20. Mr. Hogan states the issue is that internally illuminated box signs are not permitted in historic districts.

21. Mr. Serrao states that they can use a strip fixture mounted to the mullion that would not be visible, yet would wash the sign in light. It could be painted to match the mullion and would disappear.

22. Mr. Harnick says that in the drawings, it projects five inches, but it could be fabricated to be flush and appear more like a panel within the framing system of the windows.

23. Mr. Hogan says that having a recessed panel might be helpful, but the letters and logo still can’t glow.

24. Mr. Harnick says that so even if they eliminate the lit box, the faces of the letters themselves still can’t light.

25. Mr. Serrao states that is correct. Similarly, in the previous case, the applicant submitted a sign with face-lit letters which they could not approve; they approved side return-lit letters instead, which will create a glow around the opaque letters.

26. Mr. Harnick says they could make the cabinet flush and mount it into the opening above the door, and then mount individual letters to the face that could then be halo-lit.

27. Mr. Hogan says that would be acceptable.

28. Mr. Jennings says he agrees with Mr. Serrao’s proposal; it could be like a shadow box, with the letters mounted from the back and with some kind of light bar mounted inside the cabinet with LEDs to wash the letters.
29. Mr. Grigsby states that they can’t have the box created with an internal light that 
glows out of the box.

30. Mr. Hogan says they can backlight the letters but the letters themselves can’t be lit.

31. Mr. Serrao says their solution is acceptable, with the box acting as a light fixture 
and the letters themselves are offset.

32. Mr. Grigsby asks if they will have to present before the Commission again.

33. Mr. Hogan says that their redesign can be approved by staff as long as it meets the 
standards.

34. Mr. Serrao says both the Commission’s suggestion and their suggestion meet the 
standard.

35. Mr. Grigsby says they will meet with Sarah.

**Motion:**

36. Mr. Serrao motions to deny the application as submitted, with the understanding 
that it will be resubmitted for approval by staff.

37. Mr. Jennings seconds.

38. Mr. Hogan asks for a vote; all are in favor and motion carries.
11 Oakland Square

Oakland Square Historic District

Owner: Antonio Sciulli
5359 Tomfran Drive
Pittsburgh, Pa 15236

Ward: 4th
Lot and Block: 28-M-131

Applicant: Antonio Sciulli
5359 Tomfran Drive
Pittsburgh, Pa 15236

Inspector: Bob McPherson
Council District: 3rd
Application Received: 7/26/13

Proposed Changes: Window replacement with vinyl.

Discussion:

1. Mr. Antonio Sciulli steps to the podium; he is the owner of the property. He is proposing to replace all 19 windows in the building; they are all inefficient, single-pane aluminum windows which he is looking to replace with vinyl.

2. Mr. Hogan says for the front, he can replace the windows with in-kind aluminum sliders or go to wooden windows.

3. Mr. Serrao says he can use vinyl for the sides and rear of the building.

4. Mr. Hogan asks for public comment; there is none.

Motion:

5. Mr. Serrao motions to approve the window replacement for the sides and rear of the building, and motions to deny for the five front windows, with a new application to be submitted to staff for in-kind replacement.

6. Mr. Jennings seconds.

7. Mr. Hogan asks for a vote; all are in favor and motion carries.
**930 Penn Avenue**

**Owner:**
Big Y Group
930 Penn Avenue
Pittsburgh, Pa 15222

**Ward:** 2nd

**Lot and Block:** 9-N-105

**Inspection:** Bob Molyneaux

**Application Received:** 10/17/13

---

**Applicant:**
Sipp + Tepe Architects
PO Box 332
N. Lima, Oh 44452

**Council District:** 6th

**Application Received:** 10/17/13

---

**National Register Status:**
**Listed:** X
**Eligible:**

**Proposed Changes:** Window replacement with operable system.

---

**Discussion:**

1. Mr. Doug Sipp steps to the podium; he is the architect for the project. Nick Rizzo also introduces himself; he is with Big Y Group, the owners of the building. Mr. Sipp introduces the project, stating that it is for the restaurant Seviche. He says it is a turn of the century building with an Art Deco Carrera glass front. The storefront glass is the original, single-pane glass. The restaurant does have outdoor dining currently, and they are looking to remove the existing windows and install double-pane lifting windows to open up the front. They will be keeping the same dark black color, and they will not be making other changes to the façade or adding additional mullions.

2. Mr. Hogan asks for public comment; there is none.

---

**Motion:**

3. Mr. Serrao motions to approve the window replacement with the operable window system.


5. Mr. Hogan asks for a vote; all are in favor and motion carries.
4415 Schenley Farms Terrace  Schenley Farms Historic District

Owner:  Carolyn McMullen and Bob Batterman
4415 Schenley Farms Terrace
Pittsburgh, Pa 15213

Ward:  5th
Lot and Block:  27-C-217

Applicant:  Lisa Orr
3635 Bethoven
Pittsburgh, Pa 15213

Inspector:  Bob McPherson
Council District:  6th
Application Received:  10/18/13

National Register Status:  Listed:  X  Eligible:

Proposed Changes:  Installation of fencing.

Discussion:

1. Ms. Lisa Orr steps to the podium; she is the landscape architect for the project. She states that she has done a significant landscape renovation for the back of the property which has necessitated replacing the small fence in front. She shows photos and explains that the fence will consist of two panels of about five feet across each. It will be six feet tall with the wood slats being cedar and the underlying structure being Cor-Ten steel.

2. Mr. Hogan asks if they are also applying for lighting.

3. Ms. Orr says yes, they are looking to replace the existing brass house numbers with stainless steel numbers to match the hardware on the door. They are also looking to replace the light fixture above the door with a more period appropriate one.

4. Mr. Hogan asks for public comment; there is none.

Motion:

5. Mr. Serrao motions to approve the fencing, house numbers, and light fixture.

6. Mr. Jennings seconds.

7. Mr. Hogan asks for a vote; all are in favor and motion carries.
917-23 Beech Avenue
Allegheny West Historic District

Owner: KAG Ltd.
3135 Highland Road
Hermitage, Pa 15213

Ward: 22nd
Lot and Block: 7-D-53

Applicant: Lisa Orr
3635 Bethoven
Pittsburgh, Pa 16148

Inspector: Jim Seskey
Council District: 6th
Application Received: 10/18/13

National Register Status: Listed: X Eligible:

Proposed Changes: Demolition of garage for parking lot.

Discussion:

1. Mr. James Havens steps to the podium. He states that upon further review, he doesn’t think it will be feasible to obtain a demo permit for this garage, and would like to know how to change his application to a rehab of the garage into a single family dwelling.

2. Ms. Quinn says that it could be tabled if the Commission would like.

3. Mr. Serrao asks if he knows what they want to do.

4. Mr. Havens says he has the plans ready; as he said it will be a single family dwelling loft apartment on the first and second floor.

5. Mr. Serrao asks if the property consists of several lots stuck together.

6. Mr. Havens says the main property is a 15-unit apartment building, and this is the old carriage house in the back. They were going to propose to demolish it and use it as a parking lot, but the neighborhood was very opposed to that plan so they have decided to do a rehab. They will keep the same garage look on the exterior. He still is proposing a parking lot for the rest of the usable space at the rear of the main building.

7. Mr. Hogan asks for public comment.

8. Mr. John DeSantis steps to the podium; he is representing the Allegheny West Civic Council. He provides a copy of the LRC’s recommendation on the original proposal for demolition as well as a letter from the owner of a neighboring property. He thinks his organization as well as the LRC would be in favor of the new proposal. He recommends that the HRC approve the project today if possible so they might be able to get started.

9. Mr. Hogan asks if the openings in the structure are intact.

10. Mr. DeSantis says yes, they are proposing the garage doors be an inoperable set of carriage doors, and there is a pedestrian entrance on the other side facing the
interior of the lot. He would also like to comment on the parking lot and asks if the Commission has the site plan.

11. Mr. Havens presents the site plan. He states that he is looking to put two parking spots next to the dwelling and use the rest of the space for parking for the other units.

12. Mr. DeSantis states that his organization would like to ask that there be a site plan with landscaping submitted, and screening on either side provided to shield the neighboring single family homes. They are in support of the parking lot and think it is important to have off-street parking provided.

13. Mr. Havens states that with the renovations the owner made to the building, a lot more of the new tenants have cars, so the off-street parking is necessary. He says that when he met with the LRC they were opposed to pavement, so they will be using compacted gravel.

14. Mr. DeSantis states that, having not reviewed the site plan, his organization would specifically like to see a solid fence on either side of the property for screening, and some type of landscape screening on the alley side except where the entrance and exit are. They do not want to see one long continuous curb cut along the alley side.

Motion:

15. Mr. Hogan entertains a motion that the application has changed from a demolition to a restoration. The recommendations are that all the existing openings and the structure itself remain intact, with appropriate materials to be submitted to staff for final review. A site plan is also to be developed and submitted for final review by staff; it should incorporate the appropriate solid wood fencing and landscaping as needed for screening.

16. Mr. Serrao makes the motion.

17. Ms. McClellan seconds.

18. Mr. Hogan asks for a vote; all are in favor and motion carries.
### Pittsburgh HRC – November 6, 2013

**905-09 E. Carson Street  East Carson Street Historic District**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Owner:</strong></th>
<th><strong>Ward:</strong> 17th</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammar Jali</td>
<td><strong>Lot and Block:</strong> 3-G-57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO Box 607</td>
<td><strong>Inspector:</strong> Brian Ralston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center Valley, Pa 18034</td>
<td><strong>Council District:</strong> 3rd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Applicant:</strong></th>
<th><strong>Application Received:</strong> 10/18/13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peter Margittai Architects</td>
<td><strong>Application Received:</strong> 10/18/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2110 Sarah Street</td>
<td><strong>Application Received:</strong> 10/18/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh, Pa 15203</td>
<td><strong>Application Received:</strong> 10/18/13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**National Register Status:** Listed: Eligible:

**Proposed Changes:** Demolition and construction of new restaurant.

**Discussion:**

1. Mr. Peter Margittai steps to the podium; he is the architect for the project. He explains that they are requesting a demolition for 907 and 909 E. Carson Street; 905 is already a vacant lot. He shows some context photos of the surrounding area and photos of the existing buildings, which he explains are in very poor condition. He feels that demolition is appropriate based on the district guidelines; the buildings are no longer of historic significance and the historic fabric of the neighborhood around them is no longer there, and there is not much use for residential buildings in the district at this point. He explains their proposed restaurant, which will be a Domino’s Pizza featuring an open kitchen and seating. It will have a double-height façade with a mezzanine behind. They have incorporated off-street parking into the design at the side and rear, with an extension of the building framing and screening the parking area. He shows the side elevation which includes an outdoor terrace. He states that they are trying to make the design compatible with the historic district without trying to replicate a historic building.

2. Mr. Hogan asks for public comment.

3. Mr. Bob Russ from the East Carson Street LRC steps to the podium. He states that the project is just listed as a demolition and is concerned that there wasn’t proper community notification of the proposed design. He states that they would like to see more detail on the demolition; he says it looks like the buildings are in bad shape but these types of buildings are becoming more rare in the district and may be worthy of preservation.

4. Mr. Hogan says he thinks the design is off to a good start but needs more work as far as massing, size, and the way it fills the lot. He would like to see the building ready to be built before giving approval for demolition. He recommends that the Commission table the application for now.
Motion:

5. Mr. Serrao motions to table the application until next month.
6. Mr. Jennings seconds.
7. Mr. Hogan asks for a vote; all are in favor and motion carries.
Pittsburgh HRC – November 6, 2013

1316-18 Juniata Street

Owner: Irrevocable Trust of Charlotte M. Edmonds
1316 Juniata Street
Pittsburgh, Pa 15233

Ward: 21st
Lot and Block: 22-K-76, 77

Applicant: Bureau of Building Inspection
200 Ross Street
Pittsburgh, Pa 15219
 Inspector: Jim Seskey
Council District: 6th
Application Received: 10/16/13

National Register Status: Listed: X Eligible:

Proposed Changes: 1316: Demolition of garage. 1318: Demolition to grade.

Discussion:

1. Mr. Russell Blaich states that these properties are next to each other, but one is a
demolition of the garage and one is a demolition of the building itself. He says 1318
has been condemned since 2008. The neighbor has complained about its
condition, and there is also a school across the street.

2. Mr. Hogan asks for public comment.

3. Ms. LaShawn Burton-Faulk of MCC steps to the podium. She expresses their
support of the demolitions because of the poor condition, although they do not
normally like to see demolitions in the neighborhood.

4. Ms. Evelyn Jones of the LRC steps to the podium. She asks about the middle house
in the row that it still being lived in.

5. Mr. Blaich says that will stay. The third house will be condemned shortly.

Motion:

6. Mr. Serrao motions to approve the demolitions.

7. Mr. Jennings seconds.

8. Mr. Hogan asks for a vote; all are in favor and motion carries.
324 Emerson Street
Hunt Armory Nomination for Historic Designation

Owner: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
300 Liberty Avenue
Pittsburgh, Pa 15222

Ward: 7th
Lot and Block: 84-L-238

Nominator: Senator Jim Ferlo
3519 Butler Street
Pittsburgh, Pa 15201

Inspector: Jim King
Council District: 8th
Application Received: 9/6/13

National Register Status: Listed: X Eligible:

Proposed Changes: Nomination for historic designation.

Discussion:
1. Ms. Quinn states that they will be making their final recommendation to Council today. She makes a brief presentation about the building.
2. Mr. Hogan asks for public comment.
3. Mr. Nick Fedorek steps to the podium; he is speaking for the nominator. He speaks about why they decided to nominate the building and the support they have received for the nomination. He expresses their wish to see it designated as a historic structure.
4. Lt. Col. Elliot Levenson steps to the podium to speak about the history of the building and his efforts to see it nominated. He expresses his support for the nomination.

Motion:
5. Mr. Serrao motions to give a positive recommendation to City Council that the building be nominated as historic based on the listed criteria.
7. Mr. Hogan asks for a vote; all are in favor and motion carries.