

Development Activities Meeting

This report created by the Neighborhood Planner and included with staff reports to City Boards and/or Commissions.

Logistics	Stakeholders
Project Name/Address: 1700 E. Carson St.	Groups Represented (e.g., specific organizations, residents, employees, etc. where this is evident): South Side Community Council (SSCC) Project Development Team Local Review Committee (LRC) Development Review Committee (DRC) City Council District 3 Office Residents
Parcel Number(s): 12-E-380	
ZDR Application Number: DCP-ZDR-2020-02920	
Meeting Location: via Zoom	
Date: 8-20-20	
Meeting Start Time:	
Applicant: Jim Kopac	Approx. Number of Attendees: 21
Boards and/or Commissions Request(s): Historic Review Commission approval for antenna installation.	

How did the meeting inform the community about the development project?

Ex: Community engagement to-date, location and history of the site, demolition needs, building footprint and overall square footage, uses and activities (particularly on the ground floor), transportation needs and parking proposed, building materials, design, and other aesthetic elements of the project, community uses, amenities and programs.

Showed images of building and roof area with proposed enclosed equipment structure, canister and antenna support design with placements; will try to match paint of structure, canister and antennas to building; showed construction drawings.

Input and Responses

Questions and Comments from Attendees	Responses from Applicants
How many sections?	3 – two in the front of bldg. (on E. Carson St.) and one in the rear with the canister.
Can you put some more dimensions on the structures?	8' height above the parapet line; you probably won't see them from the sidewalk.
You might see them across the street. You can't move it back 4 or 5 feet? Less likely to be seen.	Rate of frequency (RF) engineer chose the site. The top of roof is so long you get shading and shooting more roof top instead of getting out to where we need to be.

Questions and Comments from Attendees	Responses from Applicants
Are you getting rid of a facility?	No, just adding to the network.
Are you adding something to the roof?	Yes, the enclosed structure.
What is the similar situation with the Nacama bldg.? Can this infrastructure be put on that building? It is the same owner.	No; already three carriers on that building. Already crowded.
Would that stack stick up off of this structure?	No, that would be on the back of the building.
8' silver stack sticking up?	It could be any color; originally chose 3M clear finish but no longer available. Propose to match the existing color of the building.
Why they chose that building to put antennas on? Thought it had to be the tallest building but I guess not.	Correct.
Does flush mounting mean that they do not have to rise above the walls?	Yes. No antennas would rise up above the structures.
Is this for 5g?	No, it is not.
How tall is that chimney (or canister)?	10'
It is our understanding that the PUC likes to group all these towers together. We could have 8 of these things here! Could the canister be situated by the chimney on top of the bldg?	
Is there anything stopping the owners from adding more antennas? Not looking forward to a forest of structures on this building.	Already 3 there.
Could it be put next to the little white structure?	Not sure where you are talking about. The front of the building is the best place to put it for us.
... a white structure that if you could group them to be in line with that and not block the line of site, that would be of value.	Maybe the white structure was an HVAC unit or something.

Questions and Comments from Attendees	Responses from Applicants
Could your structure be in line with that white structure?	I can't see other carriers moving from one building to another. But I can't guarantee anything in this world of technology.
If they went to 5g, wouldn't that require you to...?	Would just swap these out; never a new structure. We are inside a lease area and that is where we have to stay by the land owner.
Maul Bldg. – name on the building we are talking about. Owners might have changed the name.	
The owner could expand the lease area.	Sure.
Progress of the Nacama Bldg. – a powerful statement. We are just learning about it now.	It's a happening and growing place – the South Side.
Line up (with white structure) to not disturb other views... if you could do that, it would be great.	I appreciate that but 'structurally' we are in the best part of the building.
What is the material of the penthouse?	Not sure.
Is it possible to make exterior surface of 'penthouse' out of radio transparent fiberglass so the antennas can be inside the enclosure instead of stuck on the outside?	Finding it hard to find material and it is very expensive.
As an alternative, if you could stealth this out and have it match the sky that would be the best option. It sounds like that is not possible anymore.	Correct. We've tried.

Planner completing report: Anthony Kobak