918 Western Avenue

Allegheny West Historic District

Owner: 
Paul & Sue Jamiolkowski
512 Old Orchard Trail
Pittsburgh, Pa 15238

Ward: 22nd
Lot and Block: 7-D-142

Applicant: 
Paul & Sue Jamiolkowski
512 Old Orchard Trail
Pittsburgh, Pa 15238

Inspector: 
Council District: 1st
Application Received: 11/4/21

National Register Status: 
Listed: X 
Eligible:

Proposed Changes: 
After-the-fact replacement of windows.

Discussion:

1. Mr. Paul and Ms. Sue Jamiolkowski speak as the owners of the property. The explain what was done since the last hearing and go through the additional photos.

2. Ms. Aguirre asks for public comment.

3. Ms. Carole Malakoff speaks on behalf of the LRC. She states that they did meet with the applicants. She wants to address the front and rear windows separately. She states that they did install vinyl windows on the front, which is not in accordance with the guidelines. She states that the extra transom on some of the windows was there to allow for a smaller size window, which is not appropriate. She states that they would support wood windows on the front, without the extra transom, and replacement of one window on the rear to remove the extra transom.

4. Ms. Aguirre asks for additional public comment; there is none. She asks for comments and questions from Commissioners.

5. Mr. Snipe states that he recalls the color of the window being an issue at the last meeting.

6. Ms. Loysen states that the trim being white and the windows black is an issue and accentuates the windows. She states that she appreciates the LRCs comment that the property next door was required to install wood windows.

7. Mr. Falcone agrees about the window material. He has reservations about removal of the transom without additional evidence, as that configuration is not uncommon.

8. Mr. Snipe agrees.

9. Ms. Loysen adds that the windows should have proper molding as well.

10. Ms. Aguirre summarizes that the issues are the color and material of the front windows as well as the transoms.
11. Ms. Jamiolkowski clarifies that the work was stopped so it is not complete.

12. Mr. Snipe states that he feels that they need to be consistent with what was approved on the property next door. He states that the owner was permitted to replace the windows in stages so that may be appropriate here.

**Motion:**

1. Mr. Snipe moves to deny the application for after-the-fact window replacement on the front of the building.

2. Mr. Falcone seconds.

3. Ms. Aguirre asks for a vote; all are in favor and motion carries. Mr. Hill stepped away and did not vote.

4. The Commission clarifies that the rear windows are accepted.