

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

DP CASE: 8 of 2017

ADDRESS: 307 N.CRAIG STREET

WARD: 5th

PROPERTY OWNER: Benny Provenzano

**CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY
CCR#17026906
5505 Public Drunkenness
5503(a)(4) Disorderly Conduct
2/13/2017**

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not, with reasonable care and diligence, have known of the activity; but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.

Appearances:

Appellant:

Objector(s):

Observers:

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

DP CASE: 3 of 2017

ADDRESS: 945 Roselle Court Apt. 310

WARD: 15th

PROPERTY OWNER: Housing Authority, City of Pittsburgh

CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY

CCR# 17033809

13(a)(30) Possession w/ Intent to Del.-Marijuana

13(a)(32) Paraphernalia-Use or Possession-scales

13(a)(16) Possession of Controlled Substances-Marijuana

2/23/2017

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

- 1. An eviction has been commenced in a court of law and I am actively prosecuting said eviction against the offending tenant.**
- 2. Posted for Eviction**

Appearances:

Appellant:

Objector(s):

Observers:

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

DP CASE: 2 OF 2017

ADDRESS: 7021 Kelly Street

WARD: 13th

PROPERTY OWNER: Elhome Associates

**CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY
CCR# 16239858
5503(a)(2) Disorderly Conduct-Unreasonable Noise
5505 Public Drunkenness**

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

- 1. I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not with reasonable care and diligence have known of the activity, but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.**

Appearances:

Appellant:

Objector(s):

Observers:

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

DP CASE: 4 of 2017

ADDRESS: 1913 Mt. Josph Street

WARD: 29th

PROPERTY OWNER: Edward W. Lenhart

**CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY
633.08 Dogs at Large Prohibited
12/27/2016**

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

I had knowledge of the Disruptive Activity, but took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.

Appearances:

Appellant:

Objector(s):

Observers:

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

DP CASE: 6 of 2017

ADDRESS: 5122 Butler Street

WARD: 10th

PROPERTY OWNER: Richard Zeak, Dorothy E. Cole

**CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY
633.08 Dogs at Large Prohibited
2/24/2017**

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

- 1. I had knowledge of the Disruptive Activity, but took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.**
- 2. The offending party will enter a not guilty plea on one or more of the citations and/or summons. He has not received citation/summons.**

Appearances:

Appellant:

Objector(s):

Observers:

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

DP CASE: 5 of 2017

ADDRESS: 367 S. Bouquet Street

WARD: 4th

PROPERTY OWNER: BRGS LLC

CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY
5503 (a)(2) Disorderly Conduct-Unreasonable Noise
2/24/2017

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

- 1. I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not with reasonable care and diligence have known of the activity, but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.**
- 2. Other: I sent letters to tenants and parents when I received this letter.**

Appearances:

Appellant:

Objector(s):

Observers:

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

DP CASE: 7 of 2017

ADDRESS: 148 Dawes Street

WARD: 16th

PROPERTY OWNER: Robert and Tiffany Bronder

CITATION: NOTICE OF DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITY
633.09 Harboring a Nuisance
1/20/2017

Appellant Request: Reverse of Public Safety Director's Decision due to the following reason(s):

- 1. I had no knowledge of the Disruptive Activity and could not with reasonable care and diligence have known of the activity, but upon receipt of this notice took action to prevent the occurrence of future Disruptive Activity.**

Appearances:

Appellant:

Objector(s):

Observers: