


BAPR Meeting 2: Voting & Poster Results

At the beginning of the second meeting for the Broadway Avenue Public Realm Project, each attendee was given a voting card with the following questions. There were a total of 91 cards distributed, 58 of which were distributed on Thursday and 33 of which were distributed on Saturday.

In total, 88 people responded to the question about whether they “live, work or play” in Beechview. 67 people responded to Question 2. 75 people responded to Question 3. And 75 people responded to Question 4. Each question corresponded to a slide in the presentation and a poster in the room. Attendees had the additional option to vote and provide comments on the posters, as tallied below.

I **83%** live **27%** work **43%** play in Beechview.



Common Comments on the Voting Cards

- **Close plaza and maintain left turn?** Six indicated they were interested in an “Option C” for Question 2, which included closing off the plaza to parking and maintaining a left turn onto Broadway from Beechview. Support for Option C was even stronger on the posters.
- **Traffic or flashing lights?** Three expressed interest in traffic lights at Belasco, Coast and/or Shiras. Two indicated interest in a flashing crosswalk sign.
- **ADA issues?** One person said Shiras should also be made ADA compliant, and one person expressed concern that Fallowfield was not fully ADA compliant as is.
- **Divided on back-in parking.** Three support back-in parking and four said they were against it.
- **What happened to bike lanes?** Ten said they wished the project still included bike lanes and wanted to ensure there was still an option to have them in the future. Three indicated that they did not want bike lanes.
- **Mixed concern about parking.** Six wrote they didn’t mind if the parking disappeared in the plaza, and seven said they wanted it preserved.
- **Make the money go farther.** Four said they preferred Option B for Question 4, but chose Option A because they want the available money to go farther and are concerned about where future bikes lanes would go.
- **Improved public meeting.** Three said this meeting was better than the first one. One said it was better but still not good enough.
- **Creative ADA Station?** Two asked for more creative options with the design of the ADA Station.